

1 DANIEL E. ALBERTI (68620)
 2 dalberti@mwe.com
 3 McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
 4 3150 Porter Drive
 5 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1212
 6 Telephone: 650.813.5000
 7 Facsimile: 650.813.5100

8 PAUL E. CHRONIS (*pro hac vice*)
 9 pchronis@mwe.com
 10 ARON J. FRAKES (*pro hac vice*)
 11 ajfrakes@mwe.com
 12 McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
 13 227 West Monroe Street
 14 Chicago, Illinois 60606
 15 Telephone: 312.372.2000
 16 Facsimile: 312.984.7700

17 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter Defendant
 18 VESTA STRATEGIES, LLC; Third Party
 19 Defendant SINGLE SITE SOLUTIONS
 20 CORPORATION; Third Party Defendant
 21 JOHN TERZAKIS; Third Party Defendant
 22 B&B SPARCO PROPERTIES, INC.

23
 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 14
 15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 16
 17 SAN JOSE DIVISION

18 VESTA STRATEGIES, LLC,

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 ROBERT E. ESTUPINIAN, GINNY
 22 ESTUPINIAN, MUTUAL VISION, LLC,
 23 MILLENNIUM REALTY GROUP,
 24 VESTA REVERSE 100, LLC, VESTA
 25 CAPITAL ADVISORS, LLC, CAROL-
 26 ANN TOGNAZZINI, EDMUNDO
 27 ESTUPINIAN, and HAYDEE
 28 ESTUPINIAN,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C 07-06216 JW RS

**MOTION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO
 EXTEND TIME FOR
 PLAINTIFF/COUNTER DEFENDANT
 VESTA STRATEGIES, LLC AND THIRD-
 PARTY DEFENDANTS JOHN TERZAKIS,
 SINGLE SITE SOLUTIONS CORP., B&B
 SPARCO PROPERTIES, INC., AND
 PETER YE TO RESPOND TO THE FIRST
 AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM AND
 FIRST AMENDED THIRD PARTY
 COMPLAINT**

29
 25 AND RELATED COUNTER CLAIMS
 26 AND THIRD PARTY CLAIMS

1 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b) and L.R. 6-3, Plaintiff/Counter
 2 Defendant Vesta Strategies, LLC and Third Party Defendants John Terzakis, Single Site
 3 Solutions Corporation, B&B Sparco Properties, Inc., and Peter Ye respectfully move for an
 4 extension of time to respond to the First Amended Counterclaim and First Amended Third-Party
 5 Complaint of Defendants/Counter Plaintiffs/Third Party Claimants Mutual Vision, LLC, Robert
 6 Estupinian, and Ginny Estupinian and of Defendants/Third Party Claimants Millennium Realty
 7 Group, Vesta Reverse 100, LLC, Vesta Capital Advisors, LLC, Edmundo Estupinian, and Haydee
 8 Estupinian (Docket No. 44) up to and including May 9, 2008. Attached hereto is the Declaration
 9 of Aron J. Frakes in support of this Motion and [Proposed] Order.

10

11

Dated: April 22, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

12

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

13

14

By: /s/ Aron J. Frakes
Aron J. Frakes

15

16

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter
Defendant VESTA STRATEGIES, LLC;
Third Party Defendant SINGLE SITE
SOLUTIONS CORPORATION; Third
Party Defendant JOHN TERZAKIS; Third
Party Defendant B&B SPARCO
PROPERTIES, INC.

17

18

19

20

Dated: April 22, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

21

SHEUERMAN, MARTINI & TABARI

22

23

By: /s/ Alan L. Martini
Alan L. Martini

24

25

Attorneys for Third Party Defendant
PETER YE

26

27

28

**DECLARATION OF ARON J. FRAKES IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND
TO FIRST AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM AND THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT**

I, Aron J. Frakes, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney at the law firm of McDermott Will & Emery LLP, counsel of record for Plaintiff/Counter Defendant Vesta Strategies, LLC (“Vesta Strategies”) and Third Party Defendants John Terzakis (“Terzakis”), Single Site Solutions Corp. (“Single Site Solutions”), and B&B Sparco Properties, Inc. (“B&B Sparco,” and collectively with Vesta Strategies, Terzakis and Single Site Solutions, and Peter Ye, “Movants”). I am a member in good standing of the State Bar of Illinois and am admitted to practice *pro hac vice* in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in this matter. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this Declaration and, if called as a witness, could and would testify competently to such facts under oath.

2. On December 17, 2007, Vesta Strategies filed an amended complaint against Mutual Vision, LLC, (“Mutual Vision”), Robert and Ginny Estupinian (collectively, the “Estupinians”), and Millennium Realty Group, Vesta Reverse 100, LLC, Vesta Capital Advisors, LLC, Carol-Ann Tognazzini, Edmundo Estupinian, and Haydee Estupinian. (See Doc. 12.)

3. On January 7, 2008, Mutual Vision and the Estupinians' filed a counterclaim against Vesta Strategies and purported third-party claims against Terzakis, Single Site Solutions, B&B Sparco, and Peter Ye (the "Original Counterclaim"). (See Doc. 23.) Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(d)(3), Movants agreed to waive service of process on or about January 14, 2008. Under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Movants therefore had 60 days to respond to the Original Counterclaim. On March 14, 2008, Movants timely filed a motion to dismiss all of Mutual Vision's and the Estupinians' claims in the Original Counterclaim pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and Terzakis additionally moved for an order to strike Mutual Vision's and the Estupinians' claim for libel and slander and for attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to the California Anti-SLAPP Statute, CAL. CIV. P. CODE § 425.16 *et seq.* (Doc. 31.)

4. Rather than respond to Movants' motion to dismiss all of their claims and

1 Terzakis's anti-SLAPP motion, Mutual Vision and the Estupinians filed their First Amended
 2 Counterclaim and First Amended Third Party Complaint (the "Amended Counterclaim") on April
 3 9, 2008. (*See Doc. 44.*) In their Amended Counterclaim, Mutual Vision and the Estupinians
 4 dropped their claims for libel and slander, fraud, conversion, and interference with contract.
 5 (*Compare Doc. 23 with Doc. 44.*)

6 5. Despite dropping many of their claims from the Original Counterclaim, the
 7 Amended Counterclaim significantly and substantially expanded the Original Counterclaim
 8 against the Movants. In fact, the Amended Counterclaim is essentially an entirely new complaint.
 9 For example, the Amended Counterclaim contains thirteen claims brought by Mutual Vision
 10 and/or the Estupinians, each against a combination of Movants. Many of these claims are entirely
 11 new, such as the: (1) RICO claim against Movants and Peter Ye; (2) unlawful distribution claim
 12 against Vesta Strategies, Terzakis, and Single Site Solutions; (3) failure to maintain records claim
 13 against Vesta Strategies, Terzakis, and Single Site Solutions; (4) failure to provide records claim
 14 against Vesta Strategies, Terzakis, and Single Site Solutions; (5) wrongful discharge claim
 15 against Vesta Strategies and Terzakis; (6) intentional infliction of emotional distress claim against
 16 Vesta Strategies, Terzakis, and Peter Ye; (7) contribution and apportionment of fault claim
 17 against Movants; and (8) declaratory relief against Movants. (*Compare Doc. 23 with Doc. 44.*)
 18 In addition, even where Mutual Vision and the Estupinians re-asserted some of the claims
 19 contained in their Original Counterclaim, such as their claims for breach of fiduciary duty and an
 20 accounting, they asserted those claims on behalf of different parties and/or against different
 21 parties. (*Compare Doc. 23 with Doc. 44.*) Further, in the Amended Counterclaim, for the first
 22 time, Defendants/Third Party Claimants Millennium Realty Group, Vesta Reverse 100, LLC,
 23 Vesta Capital Advisors, LLC, Edmundo Estupinian, and Haydee Estupinian asserted claims
 24 against Movants, bringing claims for contribution and declaratory relief. (*Compare Doc. 23 with*
 25 *Doc. 44.*)

26 6. Movants' response to the new Amended Counterclaim is currently due on April
 27 28, 2008.

28 7. In order to respond to the new allegations, claims, and assertions in the Amended

1 Counterclaim, and due to Movants' counsel's other various professional commitments, I
 2 conferred in good faith with opposing counsel, Kevin Martin, and requested that he agree to allow
 3 Movants a short extension until May 9, 2008 to respond to the Amended Counterclaim. (See E-
 4 mail chain between Aron J. Frakes to Kevin R. Martin, attached as Exhibit A.) Mr. Martin first
 5 rejected that request outright. (See Ex. A.) I then respectfully asked Mr. Martin to reconsider that
 6 refusal to agree to Movants' reasonable request for an extension. (*Id.*) In response, Mr. Martin
 7 then offered to "extend" the date to respond until April 25, 2008. (*Id.*) I responded by pointing
 8 out that April 25, 2008 was three days *before* Movants' response to the Amended Counterclaim is
 9 currently due, again asking for a reasonable extension to May 9, 2008. (*Id.*) Mr. Martin again
 10 refused to grant the Movants' requested extension. (*Id.*)

11 8. Accordingly, Movants are filing this motion for a short extension of time, until
 12 May 9, 2008, to answer or otherwise plead in response to the Amended Counterclaim. If
 13 Movants' motion is not granted, Movants will be substantially harmed and prejudiced because
 14 Movants will not have the ability to adequately and appropriately respond to the many new
 15 allegations, claims, and assertions of the Amended Counterclaim.

16 9. Vesta Strategies, Terzakis, and Defendant/Counter Claimant/Third-Party
 17 Claimant Carol-Ann Tognazzini ("Tognazzini") previously filed, and the Court granted, a
 18 stipulation to extend the time for Vesta Strategies and Terzakis to respond to Tognazzini's
 19 Counterclaim and Third-Party Claim by ten days. (Docs. 36, 37.)

20 10. This is Movants' only request to extend the time in which to respond to the
 21 Amended Counterclaim.

22 11. This request for modification of time will not alter any other dates previously
 23 entered by this Court.

24 Executed on the 22nd day of April, 2008 at Chicago, Illinois. I declare under penalty of
 25 perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

26

27

28

/s/ Aron J. Frakes

[PROPOSED] ORDER

____ The Motion to Extend Time for Plaintiff/Counter Defendant Vesta Strategies, LLC and Third-Party Defendants John Terzakis, Single Site Solutions Corp. B&B Sparco Properties, Inc., and Peter Ye to Respond to the First Amended Counterclaim and First Amended Third Party Complaint is **GRANTED**. Movants are hereby given up to and including May 9, 2008 to answer or otherwise plead in response to the First Amended Counterclaim and First Amended Third Party Complaint.

_____ In addition the Court orders:

Dated: _____, 2008

Hon. James Ware
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2 I, Aron J. Frakes, an attorney, certify that I caused a copy of the attached
3 **MOTION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME FOR PLAINTIFF/COUNTER DEFENDANT**
4 **VESTA STRATEGIES, LLC AND THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANTS JOHN TERZAKIS, SINGLE SITE**
5 **SOLUTIONS CORP., B&B SPARCO PROPERTIES, INC., AND PETER YE TO RESPOND TO THE FIRST**
6 **AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM AND FIRST AMENDED THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT** to be served upon
7 the following counsel of record via ECF Notice of Electronic Filing on April 22, 2008:

Kevin Martin, Esq.
kmartin@randicklaw.com
Randick O'Dea & Tooliatos, LLP
5000 Hopyard Road, Suite 400
Pleasanton, CA 94588-3348
Telephone: 925.460.3700
Facsimile: 925.460.0969

David L. Olson, Esq.
dlo@hjmmlaw.com
Law Offices of David L. Olson
180 Grand Avenue, Suite 700
Oakland, CA 94612
Telephone: 510.835.0500
Facsimile: 925.835.2833

Alan Martini, Esq.
Amartini@smtlaw.com
Sheuerman, Martini & Tabari
1033 Willow Street
San Jose, CA 95125
Telephone: 408.918.3703
Facsimile: 408.295.9900

/s/ Aron J. Frakes

Aron J. Frakes

CHI99 4970086-2.029407.0020